

# EXHIBIT A

**[PROPOSED] ANSWER TO COMPLAINT**

EXHIBIT A

MARC E. ELIAS, ESQ. (D.C. Bar No. 442007)\*  
JOHN M. DEVANEY (D.C. Bar No. 375465)\*

**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
700 Thirteenth St. NW, Suite 800  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3960  
Tel: (202) 654-6200  
melias@perkinscoie.com  
jdevaney@perkinscoie.com

ABHA KHANNA, ESQ. (Wash. Bar No. 42612)\*

**PERKINS COIE LLP**  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3099  
Tel: (206) 359-8000  
akhanna@perkinscoie.com

BRADLEY SCHRAGER, ESQ. (SBN 10217)  
DANIEL BRAVO, ESQ. (SBN 13078)

**WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,  
SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP**  
3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120  
Tel: (702) 341-5200  
bschrager@wrslawyers.com  
dbravo@wrslawyers.com

Attorneys for Intervenor-Defendants Nevada State  
Democratic Party and Democratic National Committee

\*Pro hac vice to be submitted

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

JILL STOKKE, an individual, CHRIS  
PRUDHOME, MARCHANT FOR  
CONGRESS, RODIMER FOR CONGRESS,  
an individual,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

SECRETARY OF STATE BARBARA  
CEGAVSKE, in her official capacity, and  
CLARK COUNTY REGISTRAR OF  
VOTERS JOSEPH P. GLORIA, in his official  
capacity

Defendants,

Case No.: 2:20-cv-02046-APG-DJA

**[PROPOSED] ANSWER TO  
COMPLAINT**

Proposed Intervenor Democratic National Committee and Nevada State Democratic Party, by and through their attorneys, submit the following Answer to Plaintiffs' Complaint. Proposed Intervenor respond to the allegations in the Complaint as follows:

**JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

1. Paragraph 1 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required.

2. Paragraph 2 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required.

**PARTIES**

3. Proposed Intervenor are without sufficient information or knowledge with which to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 3.

4. Proposed Intervenor are without sufficient information or knowledge with which to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 4.

5. Proposed Intervenor are without sufficient information or knowledge with which to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 5.

6. Proposed Intervenor are without sufficient information or knowledge with which to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 6.

7. Proposed Intervenor admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 7.

8. Proposed Intervenor admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 8.

**FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

9. Proposed Intervenor admit the allegations contained in Paragraph 9.

10. Paragraph 10 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenor deny the allegations.

11. Proposed Intervenor deny the allegations in Paragraph 11.

12. Proposed Intervenor are without sufficient information or knowledge with which

1 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 12.

2 13. Proposed Intervenors are without sufficient information or knowledge with which  
3 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 13.

4 14. Proposed Intervenors are without sufficient information or knowledge with which  
5 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 14.

6 15. Proposed Intervenors deny the allegations in Paragraph 15.

7 16. Paragraph 16 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions  
8 to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny  
9 the allegations.

10 17. Paragraph 17 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions  
11 to which no response is required.

12 18. Proposed Intervenors are without sufficient information or knowledge with which  
13 to form a belief as to the truth or falsity of the allegations contained in Paragraph 18.

14 **Count I**

15 19. Proposed Intervenors incorporate by reference all of its responses to allegations in  
16 the preceding and ensuing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

17 20. Paragraph 20 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions  
18 to which no response is required.

19 21. Paragraph 21 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions  
20 to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny  
21 the allegations.

22 22. Paragraph 22 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions  
23 to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenors deny  
24 the allegations.

25 23. Paragraph 23 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions  
26 to which no response is required.

**Count II**

24. Proposed Intervenor incorporate by reference all of its allegations in the preceding and ensuing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

25. Paragraph 25 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required.

26. Paragraph 26 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenor deny the allegations.

27. Paragraph 27 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenor deny the allegations.

28. Paragraph 28 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenor deny the allegations.

**Count III**

29. Proposed Intervenor incorporate by reference all of its allegations in the preceding and ensuing paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

30. Paragraph 30 contains mere characterizations, legal contentions, and conclusions to which no response is required. To the extent a response is required, Proposed Intervenor deny the allegations.

**AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

Proposed Intervenor set forth their affirmative defenses without assuming the burden of proving any fact, issue, or element of a cause of action where such burden properly belongs to Plaintiffs. Moreover, nothing stated here is intended or shall be construed as an admission that any particular issue or subject matter is relevant to the allegations in the complaint. Proposed Intervenor reserve the right to amend or supplement their affirmative defenses as additional facts concerning defenses become known.

As separate and distinct affirmative defenses, Proposed Intervenor alleges as follows:

Plaintiffs fail to state a claim on which relief can be granted.

Plaintiffs lack standing.

Plaintiffs claims are barred by collateral estoppel.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Proposed Intervenor respectfully requests that this Court:

A. Deny that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief;

B. Dismiss the complaint in its entirety, with prejudice; and

C. Grant such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DATED this 6th day of November, 2020.

**WOLF, RIFKIN, SHAPIRO,  
SCHULMAN & RABKIN, LLP**

By: /s/ Bradley Schrager

Bradley S. Schrager, Esq., SBN 10217  
Daniel Bravo, Esq., SBN 13078  
3556 E. Russell Road, Second Floor  
Las Vegas, Nevada 89120

Marc E. Elias\*  
John Devaney\*  
Perkins Coie LLP  
700 Thirteenth Street NW, Suite 600  
Washington, D.C. 20005-3960  
Telephone: (202) 654-6200  
MElias@perkinscoie.com  
JDevaney@perkinscoie.com

Abha Khanna\*  
Perkins Coie LLP  
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900  
Seattle, Washington 98101-3099  
Tel: (206) 359-8000  
AKhanna@perkinscoie.com

Attorneys for DNC and Nevada State Democratic  
Party

*\*Pro hac vice applications forthcoming*